IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM TERRITORY OF GUAM

MAGISTRATE CRIMINAL MINUTES

DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM AUG - 1 2005

A RALANDA

MARY L.M. MORA CLERK OF MOUR

CASE NO. MG-05-00022 DATE: 08/01/2005 HON. JOAQUIN V. E. MANIBUSAN, JR., Magistrate Judge, Presiding
Court Recorder: Wanda M. Miles

Courtroom Deputy: Virginia T. Kilgore Hearing Electronically Recorded: 3:27:21 - 3:32:40 CSO: J. McDonald ATTY: JOHN GORMAN
(X) PRESENT () RETAINED (X) FPD () CJA APPOINTED DEFT: MICHAEL C. BRILES (X)PRESENT (X)CUSTODY ()BOND ()P.R. U.S. ATTORNEY: STEPHEN CHIAPPETTA AGENT: U.S. PROBATION: MARIA CRUZ U.S. MARSHAL: J. CURRY PROCEEDINGS: INITIAL APPEARANCE RE COMPLAINT () COMPLAINT READ TO DEFENDANT (X) FINANCIAL AFFIDAVIT REVIEWED AND ACCEPTED: FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER, ATTORNEY APPOINTED () DEFENDANT SWORN AND EXAMINED: AGE: HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETED: () DEFENDANT ARRAIGNED AND ADVISED OF THEIR RIGHTS, CHARGES AND PENALTIES () REMOVAL/IDENTITY HEARING () CONDUCTED () WAIVED () SET FOR:_____) WARRANT OF REMOVAL ISSUED) GOV'T SUMMARIZES THE EVIDENCE () GOV'T SUBMITS TO THE PLEA AGREEMENT () COURT QUESTIONS DEFENDANT REGARDING HIS PHYSICAL AND MENTAL CONDITION, AND ADVISES DEFENDANT OF THE NATURE AND POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCES OF SAID PLEA) DEFENDANT WAIVES READING OF () COMPLAINT () INFORMATION () INDICTMENT () PLEA ENTERED: () GUILTY () NOT GUILTY - TO: DISMISSED ON GOVERNMENT'S MOTION
() PLEA AGREEMENT FILED: PLEA: () ACCEPTED () REJECTED () COURT ORDERS PLEA AGREEMENT SEALED () COURT REJECTS PLEA AGREEMENT AND REFERS IT TO: FOR RECOMMENDATION. () SENTENCING DATE: ____ at ___ () STATUS HEARING: ____ at ___ () PRESENTENCE REPORT ORDERED AND DUE: ____ (X) PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION SET FOR: AUGUST 11, 2005 at 2:00 P.M. () ARRAIGNMENT SET FOR: _____ at ____ () TRIAL SET FOR: _____. PROCEEDINGS CONTINUED TO: () DEFENDANT TO BE RELEASED ON BOND (see release conditions on page 2) (X) DEFENDANT REMANDED TO THE CUSTODY OF THE U.S. MARSHAL SERVICE FOR ()PROCESSING (X) DETENTION () DETENTION HEARING REQUESTED BY GOV'T DEFT IS SET FOR: () DEFENDANT RELEASED ON BAIL AS PREVIOUSLY ORDERED BY THIS COURT **NOTES:** The Court advised Defendant of his rights. Defense had no objection to pretrial's recommendation of detention, however, he stated that he may bring a motion for release at a later date.